

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

**IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT**

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

JAMES GLEN GODWIN,

Defendant and Appellant.

F038525

(Super. Ct. No. 00-55160)

OPINION

THE COURT*

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Tulare County. Joseph Kalashian, Judge.

Paul E. Lacy, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

Bill Lockyer, Attorney General, Robert R. Anderson, Chief Assistant Attorney General, Jo Graves, Assistant Attorney General, and Carlos A. Martinez, Deputy Attorney General, for Plaintiff and Respondent.

-ooOoo-

* Before Buckley, Acting P.J., Cornell, J., and Gomes, J.

Appellant James Glen Godwin was found guilty, after a court trial, of failure to register his address in violation of Penal Code section 290, a felony. The court found true allegations Godwin had a prior serious felony conviction within the meaning of the three strikes law and an enhancement Godwin had served a prior prison term within the meaning of Penal Code section 667.5, subdivision (b).

The trial court sentenced Godwin to prison for the midterm of two years which was doubled pursuant to the three strikes law. The court struck the prior prison term enhancement, imposed a restitution fine, and granted applicable custody credits.

Godwin's appointed appellate counsel has filed an opening brief which summarizes the pertinent facts, raises no issues, and requests this court independently to review the record. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) The opening brief also includes the declaration of appellate counsel indicating that Godwin was advised he could file his own brief with this court. By letter of March 28, 2002, we invited Godwin to submit additional briefing. To date, he has not done so.

After independent review of the record, we have concluded no reasonably arguable legal or factual argument exists.

The judgment is affirmed.